Consider the Quantum Mechanics of motion in a 1-d 'wine bottle bottom' potential  $V(x) = x^2(x^2-1)$  as shown below with the corresponding stack of energy levels sorted by parity.



The Hamiltonian for this system

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,\partial_x^2 + x^2(x^2 - 1)$$

depends on a free parameter which we have chosen:  $2m/\hbar^2 = 2628.8256429 \equiv \alpha^2$ . (This results in the  $20^{th}$  state having eigenenergy E = .2.) To appreciate the wavefunctions of this Hamiltonian, page through the plots in the file  $2well_all_psi.pdf$ . Note now that for E < 0 the even/odd states are nearly degenerate, where as for E > 0 the even/odd states interleave as usual.

We aim to estimate the eigenenergies of this potential using the WKB approximation: plot the WKB integral as a function of E and pick out the spots where the result equals  $\pi(n-\frac{1}{2})$ .

An interesting feature of this potential is that the classical turning points differ significantly between case (A) with E > 0 and symmetrical turning points  $\pm a$  and case (B) with E < 0 and asymmetrical turning points: either in the x > 0 well (b < x < a) or the x < 0 well (-a < x < -b). Quantum mechanics tells us that because of tunneling a particle will not be located in just one well; instead it will end up equally distributed in both wells. The E < 0 states (in fact all states) will be either even or odd (the parity operator commutes with this symmetric potential) but  $|\psi|^2$  will be the same in the two wells. Again, you should look through  $2well_all_psi.pdf$  to appreciate this result.

A distracting feature of this problem is that *Mathematica* balks at calculating the WKB integral. An old integral table by Gradshteyn & Ryzhik will provide needed answers.

Because the potential is a special quartic (a quadratic in  $x^2$ ) its easy to find the turning points:

alpha=Sqrt[2628.8256429] Solve[x^2(x^2-1)==e,x] a = x /. Last[%] b = x /. %%[[2]]

Note: b will be complex if E > 0.

The required WKB integral is:

$$\int \alpha \sqrt{E - x^2(x^2 - 1)} \, dx$$

where in case (A) the turning points are symmetric:  $x = \pm a$ ; in case (B)—for the positive x well—the turning points are b and a.

Mathematica has problems doing the WKB integral directly:

Integrate [Sqrt[ $e-x^2(x^2-1)$ ], {x,-a,a}]

Mathematica thinks for about 5 minutes but comes up with no answer. Gradshteyn & Ryzhik provides a result for the following equivalent integral (equivalent in the sense that we have a general quadratic in  $x^2$  under a radical) and Mathematica can copy this result (it will take a few minutes):

The quartic under the root for the integral *Mathematica* can do is in factored form whereas our WKB integral is not; we have found the root a above, we need only express c in terms of E. If we compare the two:

$$(c^{2} + x^{2})(a^{2} - x^{2}) = c^{2}a^{2} + (a^{2} - c^{2})x^{2} - x^{4} = E - x^{2}(x^{2} - 1) = E + x^{2} - x^{4}$$

we conclude  $c = \sqrt{E}/a$ .

wkbA[e\_]=alpha \* result /. {c->Sqrt[e]/a,aa->a}
Plot[wkbA[e]/Pi,{e,0,.25}]

Now when the WKB integral equals  $\pi(n-\frac{1}{2})$  we have a WKB solution. You can graphically find those spots from the graph of the integral as a function of **e**. For example  $n-\frac{1}{2} = 19.5$ ; looking on the graph what E produces 19.5, I conclude near E = .2. I can then use *Mathematica* to improve that estimate:

FindRoot[wkbA[e]/Pi==19.5,{e,.2}] Out[18]= {e -> 0.199938} **Problem:** Graphically estimate the energy e for all n between 12 and 22 and then use FindRoot to produce accurate values. Put the results in a nice table.

For E < 0 the problem becomes more interesting as then we have two allowed regions separated by a disallowed region...the wavefunction will tunnel through that disallowed region and cover each equally. Since the problem is reflection symmetric the exact solutions will be either even or odd. Again, take a look at a low energy even/odd pair in 2well\_all\_psi.pdf. So if we apply WKB to E < 0 it will only know about one well, and it will end up reporting the energy of what in fact turns out to be a pair of even/odd solutions. An additional irritant is that the *Mathematica* result for the factored WKB integral:

```
Integrate [Sqrt [(x^2-b^2)(a^2-x^2)], {x,b,a}]
```

includes and explicit I (i.e., looks complex, but is of course real). Gradshteyn & Ryzhik come to our rescue and report that this integral is:

```
wkbB[e_]=alpha*(a*((b^2+a^2)*EllipticE[(a^2-b^2)/a^2]-
2*b^2*EllipticK[(a^2-b^2)/a^2]))/3
Plot[wkbB[e]/Pi,{e,-.25,0}]
```

Each of the five WKB energies you can determine from wkbB[e]/Pi correspond to a even/odd nearly degenerate pair; thus ten total eigenstates. Note: the  $11^{th}$  eigenstate is too close to the boundary; you will find it neither in wkbB[e]/Pi (where the E = 0 value is about 5.4) or wkbA[e]/Pi (where the E = 0 value is about 10.9). Note 2: Griffiths 8.15 aims to improve these guesses, by using WKB to estimate the energy difference between the pair of nearly degenerate even & odd wavefunctions.

**Problem:** Graphically estimate the energy **e** for *n* between 1 and 5 and then use FindRoot to produce accurate values. Put the results in a nice table, noting that because they represent an even/odd nearly degenerate pair they actually constitute 10 eigenenergies. For the record the exact eigenenergy of the n = 11 state is -.00176.

FYI: These WKB energies deviate on average from the exact values by less than .0003!